



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.10.2015

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBBIAH

W.P. (MD) Nos. 19460 to 19464 of 2015
and
M.P. (MD) Nos. 1, 1, 1, 1 & 1 of 2015

W.P (MD) No. 19460 of 2015 to 19464 of 2015

Tvl. Maraikayar Fuels
Represented by its Proprietor
Thiru M. Mohamed Ali
No. 303/7, 303/8, Main Road,
Kaayathar-628 952
Tuticorin District.

... Petitioner in all the WPS.

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by its Secretary to
Government Department of Commercial Taxes,
Fort St. George, Beach Road,
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Commercial Taxes,
2nd Floor, Elilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai- 600 005.
3. The Commercial Tax Officer (M)-II,
C.T. Buildings, Ettayapuram Road,
Kovilpatty, 628 501
Tuticorin District.
4. Assistant Commissioner-111,
C.T. Buildings, Beach Road,
Tuticorin.

... Respondents in all the WPS.

Writ Petition No. 19460/2015 is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of certiorari and mandamus to call for the records of the proceedings by the third respondent issued in TIN:33155942035/2010-11, dated 29.09.2015, received by the petitioner on 09.10.2015 issued by the third respondent quash the same and to direct the third respondent to verify the genuineness of the transactions with his counterpart i.e., the fourth respondent and to accord a personal hearing after



issuing notice and then to pass fresh order if any for the assessment year 2010-2011.

Prayer in WP(MD). 19461/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court Pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, call for the records the proceedings by the 3rd respondent issued in TIN.No. 33155942035/2011-12 DT. 29-9-2015 received by the petitioner on 9-10-2015 issued by the 3rd respondent quash the same and to direct the 3rd respondent to verify the genuiness of the transactions with his counterpart i.e. the 4th respondent and to accord a personal hearing after issuing notice and then to pass fresh order if any for the assessment year 2011-2012.

Prayer in WP(MD). 19462/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records the Proceedings by the 3rd respondent issued in TIN No. TIN 33155942035/2012-13 dt. 29.09.2015 received by the Petitioner on 9.10.2015 issued by the 3rd respondent, quash the same and to direct the 3rd respondent to verify the genuiness of the transaction with his counterpart ie. the 4th Respondent and to accord a personal hearing after issuing notice and then to pass fresh order if any for the assessment year 2012-13.

Prayer in WP(MD). 19463/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records the proceedings by the 3rd respondent issued in TIN.No.TIN 33155942035/2013-14 dated 29.9.2015 received by the petitioner on 09.10.2015 issued by the 3rd respondent quash the same and to direct the 3rd respondent to verify the genuiness of the transactions with his counterpart i.e. the 4th respondent and to accord a personal hearing after issuing notice and then to pass fresh order if any for the assessment year 2013-2014..

Prayer in WP(MD). 19464/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records the proceedings by the 3rd respondent issued in TIN No.33155942035/2014-15 dt.29.9.2015 received by the petitioner on 9.10.2015 issued by the 3rd respondent quash the same and to direct the 3rd respondent to verify the genuiness of the transactions with his counterpart i.e., the 4th respondent and to accord a personal hearing after issuing notice and then to pass fresh order if any, for the assessment year 2014-2015.



For Petitioner : M/s.A.S.Mujibur Rahman
For Respondents : Mr.R.Karthikeyan
Additional Govt.Pleader


WEB COPY

COMMON ORDER

All the writ petitions have been filed praying for a Writ of Certiorari to call for the impugned proceedings of the third respondent in TIN:33155942035/2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15, dated 29.09.2015, and quash the same.

2. Since the issue involved in all the Writ Petitions are one and the same, they are taken up together and disposed of by a common order.

3. The petitioner purchased goods such as Motor Oil etc from Tvl.C.M.Auto Mobiles, No.4/3A, Thiruchendur Road, Thoonthukudi-628 003. The TIN No. of the dealer was 33675920991 CST No.473344 previously which has been issued by the fourth respondent. Since the dealer has stopped their business the dealer's TIN No.and SCT No. were cancelled by the fourth respondent, The Assistant Commissioner III, Tuticorin with effect from 11.01.2010 onwards. Further Tvl.C.M.Auto Mobiles, No.4/3A, Thiruchendur Road Thoonthukudi obtained a new TIN No.and CST No.3336592410 and 482952 respectively from the fourth Respondent which came to effect from 06.1.2010 onwards. The cancellation of the old TIN No. and the old CST No. and the assignment of the new TIN No. and CST No. could be very well seen and downloaded from the inter Net as well from the intra Net of the department Web site. WWW.com tn vat.com. In the annexure of the Form I monthly return submitted to the third respondent, the petitioner has mistakenly noted the old TIN No.33675920991 instead of the New TIN No.3336592410 issued by the fourth Respondent. This has accrued only due to over sight of the clerk who typed the Form I monthly return and submitted them through E nett. To a great surprise, the third respondent issued a notice in TIN 33155942035/2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 dated 10.08.2015 proposed to revise as per the Section 19(5) of the Act. The third respondent has proposed to levy purchase tax on the purchase turnover or Rs.11,60,735-00 X 12.5%, Rs.9,08,645/- X 12.5%, Rs.15,61,506/- X 12.5%, Rs.11,97,536 X 12.5% and Rs.16,32,237 X 12.5% treating that the purchaser had purchased from the person whose TIN no was cancelled. The petitioner was sick from 12.08.2015 to 04.10.2015 and could not attend his routine official duties. Therefore, he could not submit the detailed reply and objection to the third respondent. The third respondent is having the internet and as well as intra nett facilities in his office and in all the departmental offices of his counterparts. Therefore, in no minute if the third Respondent touches the computers of his office the third respondent could very well verify that the mistake in only quoting the old TIN No. of the seller instead of mentioning the new TIN No. in the return in Form I. Therefore, the action taken by the third



respondent is no way connected with the Section 19 (15) of the TN VAT Act 2006. There is no case of repayment of the input tax amount to the third respondent. The third respondent has not followed the basic principles while proposing and while passing the order in his Proceedings issued in TIN No. TIN 33155942035/2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 dated 29.09.2015 received by the petitioner on 09.10.2015. The petitioner has accounted for the entire transactions except quoting of the old TIN No. of the consignor. Hence, challenging the said impugned orders, the present Writ Petitions have been filed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that without providing an opportunity of hearing, the impugned orders have been passed. Hence, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside.

5. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent reiterating the counter affidavits filed by him has vehemently opposed the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner and prayed for the dismissal of the writ petitions stating that the impugned order does not suffer from any illegality.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court passes the following order:-

The petitioner firm is directed to remit 10% each of the disputed tax in question in all the Writ Petitions to the respondents within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On such payment, the orders impugned in all the Writ Petitions are stand set aside and the respondent is directed to provide an opportunity of personal hearing and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks, thereafter.

With the above direction, these Writ Petitions stand disposed of. No costs, consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Crl.Side)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

am
To

1. The Secretary to Government, The State of Tamil Nadu
Department of Commercial Taxes,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
Fort St. George, Beach Road,
Chennai 600 009.



2.The Commissioner of Commercial Taxes,
2nd Floor, Elilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai- 600 005.

3.The Commercial Tax Officer (M)-II,
C.T.Buildings, Ettayapuram Road,
Kovilpatty, 628 501
Tuticorin District.

4.Assistant Commissioner-111,
C.T.Buildings, Beach Road,
Tuticorin.

+5CC to M/S.A.S.Mujibur Rahmnan Advocate Sr.Nos.63613, 63614,
63615, 63616, 63617

+1CC to Spl.Government Pleader Sr.No.63419

GJM/ARK/16.11.2015-5P-11C

W.P. (MD) Nos.19460 to 19464 of 2015
and M.P. (MD) Nos.1,1,1,1 & 1 of 2015
28.10.2015