



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.02.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Writ Petition (MD) No.1478 of 2015

- 1.M.C.Jeyapandian
2.S.Gopal
3.A.Rajaram
4.V.Nagarathinam
5.P.Veerapathiran
6.R.Ganesan
7.P.Gurusamy
8.S.Rajendran
9.K.Alagumalai
10.R.Lenin
11.R.Kasirajan
12.A.Vincent
13.S.Venugopal
14.V.Jeyaraman
15.S.Mohammed Bahrudeen
16.S.Paulpandi
17.C.Palani
18.M.Nagarajan
19.P.Selvaraj
20.B.Lazar
21.M.Thangavelu
22.S.Natarajan
23.R.Ragavan
24.A.Sulthan
25.P.Krishnan
26.M.Manickavasagam
27.N.Ravindran
28.S.Murali
29.S.Savaridass
30.M.Shahul Hameed
31.A.Rajendran
32.P.Muthupandi
33.N.Jeyaraj
34.P.Manmathan
35.V.Poomibalagan
36.S.Rajasekaran
37.A.Pandiyar
38.S.Seenivasan
39.K.Chandrasekaran
40.M.Nawab John
41.S.Kuladairaj
42.K.Thangamuthu
43.M.Thomas
44.P.Senram
45.M.Rajendran
46.S.Pounraj
47.P.Nagusamy
48.S.Rayappan
49.S.Nagasundaram



50.D.Raguram
51.K.Selvaraj
52.K.Thangavelu
53.D.Varadharaj
54.K.Dhanuskodi
55.R.Manoharan
56.P.Rajendran
57.N.Narayanasamy
58.P.Nagarajan
59.I.Nagarajan

... Petitioners

Vs.

The Management of
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Ltd.,
Dindigul Region,
Rep by its General Manager,
Dindigul.

... Respondent

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to pay the amounts payable to the petitioners towards Leave Salary together with 18% interest per annum from the dates of their retirement.

For Petitioners : No appearance
For Respondent : Mr.A.P.Muthupandian

ORDER

Mr.A.P.Muthupandian, learned Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

2.The petitioners seek for a Mandamus directing the respondent to pay leave salary together with interest payable to the petitioners. All these petitioners were working under the respondent Corporation and retired from service. According to the petitioners, the leave salary was not paid to them which they are otherwise entitled to. As it is not paid, they have filed the present writ petitions before this Court seeking for the relief as stated supra.

3.It is seen that the grievance of the petitioners were brought to the knowledge of the respondent through representation made on 15.09.2014 and such representation is said to be still pending. Therefore, it is for the respondent to consider the said representation and pass appropriate orders on the same on merits and in accordance with law. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any view on the merits and the claim made by the petitioners at this stage.

4.Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of only with a direction to the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 15.09.2014 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True copy/



sms
To

The General Manager,
Management of
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Ltd.,
Dindigul Region,
Dindigul.

+1cc to MR.S.ARUNACHALAM,ADVOCATE IN SR NO.5759

Writ Petition (MD) No.1478 of 2015
06.02.2015

rg.19.02.2015 3p.3c.