



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of May Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.8969 of 2015

R.CHITRAI SAMY

..PETITIONER/ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NARIKUDI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.70/2015

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.KRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 379, 506(i) I.P.C. in Crime No.70 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is alleged in the complaint that the petitioner had stolen the two goats belonging to the defacto complainant and while the defacto complainant was rescuing the same, the petitioner had threatened him with dire consequences.

3.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the allegation leveled against the petitioner is false and there is no such occurrence happened as claimed by the respondent police.


4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the goats were recovered and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aruppukottai, and on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) as to whether the petitioner is complying with the order or not.

sd/-
06/05/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ARUPPUKOTTAI, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 2 -DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, NARIKUDI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.S.KRISHNAN Advocate SR.No.25169
ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8969 of 2015
Date :06/05/2015

PBK/AMF 07/05/2015 ::2P-6C: