



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of May Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.8893 of 2015

FRANCIS

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALAGAPPAPURAM POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT
CRIME NO. 36 OF 2015.

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.KARUNA Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 448 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.36 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) for the State.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and threatened with dire consequences.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side), as to whether the petitioners are complying with the condition or not.

sd/-
06/05/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARAIKUDI.
- 2 -DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALAGAPPAPURAM POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.V.KARUNA Advocate SR.No.25082.

TS/07.05.2015/2P-6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8893 of 2015
Date :06/05/2015