



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Seventh day of January Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.888 of 2015

VANKARU

..PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.3

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
USILAMPATTI TALUK POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
CR. NO.304/2014.

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.MUNIYANDI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w20(b) (II)(B) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, in Crime No.304 of 2014 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused Nos.1 and 2 were arrested for possessing 3.750 kilograms of kanja and based on the confession statement of the co-accused, the petitioner herein has been implicated in this case.


3. The learned Government Advocate [criminal side] represented that there is no previous case against the petitioner.

4. Taking into consideration of the above, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Additional District Court for Principal Special Court for EC and NDPS Cases, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police twice a day at 10:30 in the morning and 06:30 in the evening for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/jb1.htm> the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-
27/01/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE FOR PRINCIPAL SPECIAL COURT
FOR EC AND NDPS ACT CASES, MADURAI.
- 2 -do-thro'THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, MADURAI.
- 3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.
- 4 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, USILAMPATTI TALUK POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.S.MUNIYANDI Advocate SR.No.3446
ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.888 of 2015
Date :27/01/2015

PBK 28/01/2015 ::2P-6C: