



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Third day of June Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.8633 of 2015

1 PREMACHANDRAN
2 CHANDRASEKARAN
3 JEYACHANDRAN

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No.1 TO 3

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SATHANKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
CR. NO.188/2015.

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.P.BALAKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 to A3 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under sections 120-A, 417, 420, 441, 461 and 471 of IPC, in Crime No.188 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused had forged the signature of the de-facto complainant and created false documents in order order to grab the property of the de-facto complainant.


3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the de-facto complainant is none other than the brother of the petitioners and there are three civil suits pending before the competent court in respect of the property in dispute.

4.It is further submitted that even as per the complaint, the accused had forged the signature of the de-facto complainant to measure the property in dispute.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) submitted that this is a case of dispute between the brothers and the accused have forged the signature of the de-facto complainant.

6.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on



further condition that the 1st petitioner shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation and the petitioners 2 and 3 shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

8. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
03/06/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NANGUNERI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

2 -DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI.

3 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SATHANKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.P. BALAKRISHNAN Advocate SR.No.28148

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8633 of 2015
Date :03/06/2015

PBK/KBM 08/06/2015 ::2P-6C::