



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of May Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH
CRL OP(MD) No.8519 of 2015

WEB COPY

1 SURESH
2 VIJAY ANAND ... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.2 & 3

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VIRALIMALAI POLICE STATION, PUDUKKOTTAI DIST,
CR.NO.92/2015 ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.G.SRIDHARAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.04.2015 for the offence punishable under Sections 392 read with 397 IPC in Crime No.92 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioners threatened the de-facto complainant and snatched Rs.2,000/- and the amount has been recovered from them.

3. It is represented that there is no previous case as against the petitioners. Under such circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, but with conditions.

4. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each, out of which, one surety should be from the parents, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Keeranur, and on further condition that:


[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday daily at 10.30 a.m. and 06.30 p.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action



against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether these petitioners are complying with the condition or not.

sd/-
06/05/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE KEERANUR.
 - 2.DO THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE PUDUKKOTTAI.
 - 3.THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
 - 4.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VIRALIMALAI POLICE STATION, PUDUKKOTTAI DIST.
 - 5.THE SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY.
- +1. CC to M/S.G.SRIDHARAN Advocate SR.No.25084

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8519 of 2015
Date :06/05/2015

rg.06.05.2015 2p.7c.