



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Ninth day of April Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.8375 of 2015

KANNAN

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

SAPTUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.

CRIME NO.55/2015

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.T.VADIVELAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner is the sole accused apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under sections 294(B), 323, 324 of IPC r/w section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act and section 29 of Arms Act, in Crime No.55 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous motive, there was a quarrel between the de-facto complainant and the petitioner and due to which, the accused attacked the de-facto complainant, thereby caused injury and the accused also found in possession of explosive substances with him.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the investigation is almost over and country bombs were seized by the respondent in the house of the accused.



5. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders. The petitioner shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
29/04/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
PERAIYUR
 2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MADURAI DISTRICT
 3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
 4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SAPTUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- +One cc to M/S.T.VADIVELAN, Advocate, SR.No.23886
RL/6 C- 5/5/2015

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8375 of 2015
Date :29/04/2015