



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.04.2015

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH

CRL.OP.(MD)No.8119 of 2015

S.Jeyakanthan ... Petitioner/Accused
Vs.

1.The State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
North Police Station,
Tuticorin.

(Crime No.443/2014) ...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Tmt.Parvathi

W/o.Ramasamy

No.61, Vandimarichamman

Koil Street,Tuticorin.

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code praying to call for the records in charge sheet in S.T.C.No.799 of 2014 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.2, Tuticorin and quash the same.

For Petitioner ... Mr.R.Balakrishnan

For R1 ... Mrs.S.Prabha

Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 ... Mr.R.Manimaran

ORDER

When the matter is taken up for hearing, the parties namely, the petitioner and the defacto complainant are present before this Court.

2. The petitioner has been arrayed as accused in S.T.C.No.799 of 2014 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Tuticorin, for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 323, IPC.

3.Both parties submitted that the matter has been settled. The presence of the parties is also recorded. The joint compromise memo has been filed, which contains the signature of the petitioner and thumb impression of the second respondent. The same was attested by the learned counsel on both sides, wherein it has been stated that the respondent NO.2 withdrew the allegations and he has no objection in quashing the charge sheet in S.T.C.N.799 of 2014.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the State and the



learned counsel appearing for the second respondent. This Court has also perused the compromise memo.

5. Considering the submissions made and after going through the relevant documents and after looking at the nature of offences alleged against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings as no useful purpose would be served by continuing the trail any longer. Accordingly, the proceedings in S.T.C.No.799 of 2014 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.2, Tuticorin, is quashed. The compromise memo shall form part of record. This petition is ordered.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (AS)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

() COMPROMISE MEMO XEROX COPIES ARE ENCLOSED HEREWITH**

1. Inspector of Police, North Police Station, Tuticorin.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.2, Tuticorin
3. Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tuticorin
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+One cc to Mr.R.Balakrishnan, Advocate, SR>No.23814
ses
RL/ 6c- 12/5/2015

CRL.OP. (MD) No.8119 of 2015

28.04.2015