



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24.06.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

CrI.O.P. (MD) No. 7365 of 2015

and

M.P. (MD) .No.1 of 2015

Kuralrajan

... Petitioner/Defacto Complainant
Vs.

1. Director General of Police,
(Law and Order)
Chennai - 600 002.

2. Inspector of Police,
South Zone,
Madurai-625 002.

3. Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Madurai-2.

4. Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

5. Superintendent of Police,
CBCID, Chennai-600 008.

6. The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station,
Virudhunagar.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, praying to direct the respondents to change the investigation of the case in Cr.No.49/15 on the file of the 6th respondent to the 5th respondent for investigation in accordance with law to file final report within such time frame as this court fixed.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mariappan

For Respondents : Mrs.S.Prabha
Government Advocate (CrI.side)

O R D E R

Seeking a direction to the respondents to transfer the investigation and file a final report as expeditiously as possible, the petitioner has come up with this petition.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) appearing for the respondents and perused the records carefully.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

3. Though the petitioner's complaint was given in the year 2014, it has been registered in the year 2015 in Crime No.49 of 2015. The learned



counsel for the petitioner submitted that despite the fact that sufficient records has been given, no further investigation has been done. He also submitted that the Investigation Officer simply stated that since the case is purely civil in nature, it would be closed and also stated that the petitioner is not cooperating.

4. This court is not willing to go into the allegations or the denial. The petitioner is being the defacto complainant does not have any confidence in sixth respondent.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the contentions made by both sides, the fourth respondent is directed to transfer the investigation from sixth respondent to any other officer under his control and he also directed to monitor and supervise the same. After transferring the investigation, final report will have to be filed within a period of four months thereafter.

6. This criminal Original Petition is disposed of with the above direction. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Per.Admn.)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Director General of Police, (Law and Order), Chennai - 600 002.

2.The Inspector of Police, South Zone, Madurai-625 002.

3.The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Madurai-2.

4.The Superintendent of Police, Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.

5. The Superintendent of Police, CBCID, Chennai-600 008.

6.The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station, Virudhunagar.

7.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.G.Mariappan,Advocate SR.No.33394

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7365 of 2015
and M.P.(MD).No.1 of 2015
24.06.2015

PJL

PA/IV/10.07.2015/2P/9C