



2. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 and the learned Counsel for the third respondent.



3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, a joint memo of compromise, recording the compromise between the parties, has been filed by both parties and attested by the Counsel for both parties, in which the defacto complainant has expressed no objection to quash the proceedings. The parties are also present before this Court.

4. This Court has also perused the nature of complaint and the compromise memo. This Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by proceedings further in this matter.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the proceedings in ***Cr.No.238 of 2014**, on the file of the second respondent is quashed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AE)

***Amended as per order dated 25.06.2015
by MMSJ in MP(MD)No.1/2015 in
Crl.O.P. (MD)No.7147/2015**

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

***To be substituted to the order already despatched on 20.5.2015**

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Puliangudi,
Tirunelveli District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chokkampatty Police Station,
Chokkampatty, Tirunelveli District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr. P.T.Thiraviam, advocate Sr.No.19431

ssl

AA/07.05.2015/2p- 5c/

RPB 29.07.2015

CRL.O.P. (MD)No.7147 of 2015
17.04.2015