



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Thirteenth day of April Two Thousand Fifteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.6677 of 2015

WEB COPY

1 DANIEL
2 DAVID

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SIVAKASI EAST POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DT,
CRIME NO.94/2015

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.ALAGUSUNDAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners are A4 and A6 and they were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.03.2015 for the alleged offences punishable under sections 452, 365, 148, 342, 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC @ 452, 147, 148, 294(b), 364(A), 367, 368, 506(ii) of IPC r/w 120(b) of IPC, in Crime No.94 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons had kidnapped the victim and demanded Rs.1 Crore as ransom. The specific allegation against the petitioners is that they have given their cottage for rent to A1.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are father and son respectively and they have not played any role in the alleged kidnapping of the victim and the demand of Rs.1 Crore from the victim.

4.The learned counsel further submitted that the respondent has recovered Rs.12 Lakhs only from A1 and the petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged offence.



5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) submitted that the petitioners rented out the cottage to A1 and A1 to A3 have kidnapped the victim and demanded money. It is further submitted that the petitioners have not involved any offence of this nature.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the allegation made against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, on each of them executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sivakasi, Virudhunagar District and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as when required for interrogation.

sd/-
13/04/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SIVAKASI,VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
 - 2 DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
 - 3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,SUB JAIL,MELUR.
 - 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SIVAKASI EAST POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
 - 5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,SUB JAIL,VIRUDHUNAGAR.
 - 6 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.
- +1. CC to M/S.S.ALAGUSUNDAR Advocate SR.No.18566

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6677 of 2015
Date :13/04/2015