



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the First day of April Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.6042 of 2015

JEGAN @ JEGATHEESHWARAN

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PALAYAMKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI CITY,
(CRIME NO.198 OF 2015).

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.P.THANGAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 307 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.198 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that this petitioner threatened the *de facto* complainant, by brandishing a weapon and no one has been injured in the incident and there is no previous case against this petitioner.


3. Considering the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 06:30 in the evening for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send compliance report to the office of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor whether the petitioner is complying with the order or not.

sd/-

01/04/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,TIRUNELVELI.
 - 2.DO THRO THE CHEIF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE TIRUNELVELI.
 - 3.THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
 - 4.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PALAYAMKOTTAI POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI CITY,
- +1. CC to M/S.A.RAJARAM Advocate SR.No.16339

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6042 of 2015
Date :01/04/2015

RG.07.04.2015 2P.6C.