



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Thirty First day of March Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

**The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH**

CRL OP(MD) No.5261 of 2015

MARISANKAR ... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION,  
TUTICORIN, CRIME NO.3/2015 ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.N.ANANDAKUMAR, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

**ORDER :** The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.03.2015 for the offence punishable under Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, in Crime No.3 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that this petitioner was caught red handed by the respondent police, while he was accepting bribe of Rs.2,500/- from the *defacto* complainant. This petitioner was arrested on 13.03.2015 and is in incarceration since then.

3. In trap cases, most of the investigation would get over immediately after the trap succeeds and under such circumstances, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case to grant bail to this petitioner.

4. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tuticorin and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the Office of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), whether the petitioner is complying with the order or not.

sd/-  
31/03/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TUTICORIN.
- 2 THE SUPERINTENDENT, CENTRAL PRISON, PALAYAMKOTTAI.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION, TUTICORIN
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.N.ANANDAKUMAR, Advocate SR.No.16148.

ORDER IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.5261 of 2015  
Date :31/03/2015

msm 31.03.2015 p2/6c