



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Nineteenth day of March Two Thousand Fifteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.5115 of 2015

WEB COPY

DANIEL

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TALUK POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL.
CRIME NO.181 OF 2015

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.SRINIVASAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 457 and 511 IPC in Crime No.181 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State.

3. The case of the prosecution is that this petitioner is a Police Constable and the defacto complainant is the wife of another Police Constable and they were living in the same Police quarters. When the petitioner attempted to enter into the house of the defacto complainant in order to catch a hen at that time a wordy quarrel arose.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submits that no one is injured

6. Under such circumstances, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of **fifteen (15) days** from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Dindigul**, on condition that the the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> [a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;



[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law, as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-
19/03/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
DINDIGUL.
- 2 DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TALUK POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.SRINIVASAN Advocate SR.No.13412

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5115 of 2015
Date :19/03/2015

PA/23.03.2015/2P/6C