



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Thirtieth day of March Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.4712 of 2015

M.MUNIYANDI

... PETITIONER/ SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

CITY CRIME BRANCH

MADURAI.

(CRIME NO 33 OF 2014) ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.A.KANNAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 409, 420, 464, 469 and 471 IPC in Crime No.33 of 2014 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State.

3. This is third anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner. The case of the prosecution is that this petitioner was working as Head Surveyor in the Taluk Office and that he has fabricated bogus revenue records in respect of the lands of the defacto complainant.

4. The respondent police have filed a status report, wherein in Paragraph Nos.4 to 6, they have stated as follows:

"4. I submit that, on the investigation conducted and on perusal of documents it was found that, the petitioner has created a forged document as if the Tahsildar (South), Madurai has passed a Proceeding in respect of the properties belonging to the defacto complainants. In this regard the Petitioner has received a sum of Rs.45,000/- from the defacto complainant and cheated them.



5. I submit that, so far 3 number of persons were interrogated as witnesses and statement under section 161 of the Cr.P.C. has been recorded. Further, the statement of the Tahsildar, Madurai (South) clearly elucidate that the so called proceeding provided by the Petitioner is forged and fake.

WEB COPY

6. I submit that, the specimen signature of the Petitioner is necessary for further investigation. But, since the petitioner is continuously absconding for more than 10 months, the investigation process is at stake."

5. In view of the serious nature of allegations against this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant him anticipatory bail. Hence, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

sd/-

30/03/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CITY CRIME BRANCH, MADURAI.
- 2 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.4712 of 2015

Date : 30/03/2015

AA/06.04.2015/2p- 3c/