



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Sixth day of March Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.4105 of 2015

1 V.VEDA CHINNAPPAN
2 A.EZHILARASAN
3 A.AROCKIA MARY
4 R.JAQULIN MARY
5 S.JESURAJ
6 S.ANTONY SAMY

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No.1 to 6

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
R.S.MANGALAM POLICE STATION, R.S.MANGALAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CR. NO.28/2105.

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.C.S.ASSOCIATES Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 147, 294(b) and 323 IPC and Section 4 of Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.28 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police, seek Anticipatory Bail.


2. This is a case and counter and the counter case is in Crime Number is 27 of 2015. It is alleged that there was a property dispute between the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant.

3. Considering the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners 2, 5 and 6 shall stay at Karaikudi and report before the Town Police Station, Karaikudi, daily at 06.30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation and the other petitioners shall report before the respondent police for interrogation as and when required.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/rest> The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send compliance report to the office of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor whether the petitioners are complying with the order or not.

sd/-

06/03/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUVADANAI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

2 -do-thro'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, R.S.MANGALAM POLICE STATION, R.S.MANGALAM, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

5 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KARAIKUDI TOWN POLICE STATION, KARAIKUDI.

+2. CC to M/S.C.S.ASSOCIATES Advocate SR.No.11047 & 11202

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4105 of 2015

Date :06/03/2015

PBK 09/03/2015 ::2P-8C: