



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.06.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH

CRL.O.P. (MD) Nos.3604 and 3675 of 2015Cr1.O.P. (MD) No.3604 of 2015

1.Manikandan
2.Kalaiyarasi

... Petitioners/Accused 1 and 3
vs.

1.State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
GH Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.409 of 2014)

... Respondent/Complainant

2.Velayutham

... Respondent/
Defacto complainant

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records pertaining to the F.I.R. in Crime No.409 of 2014, on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal.

Cr1.O.P. (MD) No.3675 of 2015

1.Kalaiyarasi
2.Usha Devi

... Petitioners/Accused 2 and 3
vs.

1.State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Kulithalai, Karur District.
(Crime NO.12 of 2014)

... Respondent/ Complainant

2. Rajakumari

... Respondent/Defacto complainant

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records pertaining to the F.I.R. In Crime No.12 of 2014, on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same as illegal with regard to the petitioners.



For Petitioners
in both petitions :Mr.T.Lenin Kumar

For Respondents
in both petitions : Mr.S.Prabha
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
for R.1
:Mr.D.Venkatesh
for R.2

COMMON ORDER

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the first respondent and the learned Counsel for the second respondent.

3. The petitioners in Crl.O.P.(MD)NO.3604 of 2015 are arrayed as accused for the offences under Sections 294(b) and 506(i) I.P.C. r/w 3 (2) (Va) & 3(1)(r)(s) of the SC/ST (POA) Amendment Ordinance Act, 2014 and Section 109 I.P.C. And the petitioners in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3675 of 2015 are arrayed as accused for the offences under Sections 294(b), 417, 420 and 506(ii) I.P.C. r/w 3(1)(r) and (s) SC/ST (POA) Amendment Ordinance, 2014.

4. When the matter is taken up for hearing, a joint memo of compromise has been filed by both parties in both cases and attested by the Counsel for both parties, in which it is stated that the parties have entered into a settlement out of Court and therefore, there is no necessity for proceeding further in this matter. The parties are also present.

5. This Court has also perused the nature of complaint and the joint memo of compromise filed in both cases. This Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by proceedings further in these matters.

6. Accordingly, both the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed and the proceedings in Cr.Nos.409 and 12 of 2014, on the file of the first respondent are quashed.

Sd/-
Asst. Registrar()

/True copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar



To

1. The Inspector of Police,
GH Police Station,
Trichy District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Kulithalai, Karur District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+2ccs. To T. Lenin kumar Advocate Sr.32610, 32609

ssl
SB:9/7/2015 6C

CRL.O.P. (MD) Nos.3604 and 3675 of 2015

22.06.2015