



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Seventeenth day of February Two Thousand Fifteen  
PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.N.PRAKASH**

CRL OP(MD) No.2823 of 2015

WEB COPY

PADMAVATHI

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.4

Vs

STATE.REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, TIRUMANGALAM,

MADURAI DISTRICT.

(CR.NO.48 OF 2014)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.T. VADIVELAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.  
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.48 of 2014, on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 498(A) and 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act the petitioner is now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondent.

3. The petitioner herein is the sister-in-law of the de-facto complainant. This Court has granted anticipatory bail to the co-accused in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.17787 of 2014 dated 12.02.2015. On a reading of the complaint, the allegations are not very serious and relying upon **Arnesh Kumar Vs.State of Bihar** reported in **2014 (8) Scale 250**, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, but with conditions.

4. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tirumangalam, on executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the



satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-  
17/02/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
TIRUMANGALAM.

2 DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
MADURAI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, TIRUMANGALAM,  
MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.T. VADIVELAN Advocate SR.No.7351

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.2823 of 2015  
Date :17/02/2015

PA/19.02.15/2P/6C