



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.02.2015

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.SELVAM

Crl.O.P. (MD) Nos.2715,2726,2762,2763,2796,2810,
2829,2837,2840 and 2846 of 2015 (10 cases)


PACHAIMUTHU ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2715/ 2015
KARUPPAIAH ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2726/ 2015
P.SARAVANAKUMAR ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2762/ 2015
G.SITHISULAIIKA BEEVI ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2763/ 2015
SANKAIAH NADAR ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2796/ 2015
J.DEEPA ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2810/ 2015

1. V.BALAMURUGAN
2. VEERAMANI
3. BALAMURUGAN ... PETITIONERS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2829/ 2015

K.MABU BADUSHA ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2837/ 2015
JARINA ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2840/ 2015
J.DAVID ... PETITIONER IN CRL OP (MD) . 2846/ 2015

- VS. -

1. THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DINDIGUL & DISTRICT.
2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BATLAGUNDU POLICE STATION, BATLAGUNDU,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT. ... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2715/ 2015
1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT, RAMANATHAPURAM.
2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT. ... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2726/ 2015
1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
THENI DISTRICT.
2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DSP OFFICE, BODINAYAKKANUR, THENI DIST
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BODI TOWN POLICE STATION,
BODINAYAKKANUR, THENI DIST



THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANTI LAND GRABBING SPECIAL CELL,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SETHPATHI NAGAR,
RAMANATHAPURAM.

... RESPONDENT IN CRL OP (MD) . 2763/ 2015

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT, VIRUDHUNAGAR.

2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SRIVILLIPUTHUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SRIVILLIPUTTUR TOWN POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VANNIYAMPATTI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

5. AYYAM PERUMAL NADAR ... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2796/ 2015

1. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
SOUTH ZONE, MADURAI.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
THENI DISTRICT, THENI.

3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THENI DISTRICT, THENI. ... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2810/ 2015

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
THENI DISTRICT.


2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
THENI DIVISION, THENI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PALANICHETTIPATTI POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT. ... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2829/ 2015

1. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
TIRUNELVELI CITY, TIRUNELVELI.

2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
HIGHGROUND POLICE STATION, HIGHGROUND,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CITY CRIME BRANCH, TIRUNELVELI,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT. ... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP (MD) . 2837/ 2015



THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, KEELAKARAI,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENT IN CRL OP(MD). 2840/ 2015

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SIVAKASI TOWN POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS IN CRL OP(MD). 2846/ 2015

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, praying this Court
Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2715/ 2015 :

To direct the 1st respondent to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner and his Coolie workers doing the agriculture operation at his patta land.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2726/ 2015 :

To direct the respondent NO.2 not to harass the petitioner and his family members without and legally sustainable complaint and to abide by the guidelines in D.K.Basu case in 1997 1 SCC 416.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2762/ 2015 :

To direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to harass the petitioner without any legally sustainable complaint .

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2763/ 2015 :

To issue direction directing the respondent not to harass the petitioner.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2796/ 2015 :

To direct the respondents 3 & 4 not to harass and not to interfere in the civil dispute between petitioner and respondent No.5 in respect of the property situated in Survey No.274/4, inamreddiyapatti Village Srivilliputtur Taluk, Virudhunagar District.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2810/ 2015 :

To direct the respondents not to harass the petitioner and his family members under the guise of enquiry.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2829/ 2015 :

To direct the respondents not to harass the petitioners and family members at the guise of enquiry in pursuance a theft case.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2837/ 2015 :

To direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner in the light of the petitioners representation to the 1st respondent dated 09.02.2015.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2840/ 2015 :

To direct the respondent herein not to harass the petitioner and her family members under the guise of enquiry.

Prayer in CRL OP(MD). 2846/ 2015 :

To direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.



For Petitioner/Petitioners

: Mr.R.Manoharan in Crl.O.P.No.2715/2015
 : Mr.R.Venkatesan in Crl.O.P.No.2726/2015
 : Mr.C.Masilamani in Crl.O.P.No.2762/2015
 : Mr.V.Sitharanjandas in Crl.O.P.No.2763/2015
 : Mr.G.Marimuthu in Crl.O.P.No.2796/2015
 : Mr.D.Anbarasu in Crl.O.P.No.2810/2015
 : Mr.A.K.Manickam in Crl.O.P.No.2829/2015
 : Mr.S.R.A.Ramachandran in Crl.O.P.No.2837/2015
 : Mr.A.M.Karuppasamy in Crl.O.P.No.2840/2015
 : Mr.K.Samidurai in Crl.O.P.No.2846/2015

For Respondents in all Crl.O.Ps.

: Mr.K.V.Rajarajan
 Government Advocate (Crl.side)

C O M M O N O R D E R


All the petitioners seek directions to the respective respondents not to harass the petitioners and their family members under the guise of enquiry.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side).

3. The petitioners in all these petitions alleged harassment at the hands of the respective police/respondent. Given the guidelines of the Apex Court in *Lalita Kumari vs. Government of U.P. and others* reported in 2013 (4) Crimes 243 (SC) and in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and Another* reported in (2014) 3 MLJ (Crl) (SC), these petitions may be disposed of on the following lines:

In the normal course, the respondent police shall not require the presence of the petitioners before them. In an exceptional case, the respondent police may upon receipt of complaints, require the persons/accused to appear before them for the purpose of enquiry. Such enquiry shall not extend beyond the period of one week. In the event of respondent police registering cases for offences punishable with imprisonment up to 7 years, both respondent police as well as the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate before whom accused persons are produced for the purpose of remand strictly shall follow the dictate of Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and Another* reported in (2014) 3 MLJ (Crl) (SC), paragraph Nos.9, 14 and 15 whereof state as follows:

"9. From a plain reading of the aforesaid provision, it is evident that a person accused of offence punishable with imprisonment for a term which may be less than seven years or which may extend to seven years with or without fine, cannot be arrested by the police officer only on its satisfaction that such person had committed the offence punishable as aforesaid. Police officer before arrest, in such cases has to be further satisfied that such arrest is necessary to prevent such person from committing any further offence; or for proper investigation of the case; or to prevent the accused from causing the evidence



of the offence to disappear; or tampering with such evidence in any manner; or to prevent such person from making any inducement, threat or promise to a witness so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or the police officer; or unless such accused person is arrested, his presence in the court whenever required cannot be ensured. These are the conclusions, which one may reach based on facts. Law mandates the police officer to state the facts and record the reasons in writing which led him to come to a conclusion covered by any of the provisions aforesaid, while making such arrest. Law further requires the police officers to record the reasons in writing for not making the arrest. In pith and core, the police office before arrest must put a question to himself, why arrest? Is it really required? What purpose it will serve? What object it will achieve? It is only after these questions are addressed and one or the other conditions as enumerated above is satisfied, the power of arrest needs to be exercised. In fine, before arrest first the police officers should have reason to believe on the basis of information and material that the accused has committed the offence. Apart from this, the police officer has to be satisfied further that the arrest is necessary for one or the more purposes envisaged by sub-clauses (a) to (e) of clause (1) of Section 41 of Cr.P.C.

14. Our endeavour in this judgment is to ensure that police officers do not arrest accused unnecessarily and Magistrate do not authorize detention casually and mechanically. In order to ensure what we have observed above, we give the following direction:

•(1)

All the State Governments to instruct its police officers not to automatically arrest when a case under Section 498-A of the IPC is registered but to satisfy themselves about the necessity for arrest under the parameters laid down above flowing from Section 41, Cr.P.C.;

•(2)

All police officers be provided with a check list containing specified sub-clauses under Section 41(1)(b) (ii);

•(3)

The police officer shall forward the check list duly filed and furnish the reasons and materials which necessitated the arrest, while forwarding/producing the accused before the Magistrate for further detention;



officer in terms aforesaid and only after recording its satisfaction, the Magistrate will authorise detention;

•(5)

The decision not to arrest an accused, be forwarded to the Magistrate within two weeks from the date of the institution of the case with a copy to the Magistrate which may be extended by the Superintendent of police of the district for the reasons to be recorded in writing;

•(6)

Notice of appearance in terms of Section 41A of Cr.PC be served on the accused within two weeks from the date of institution of the case, which may be extended by the Superintendent of Police of the District for the reasons to be recorded in writing;

•(7)

Failure to comply with the directions aforesaid shall apart from rendering the police officers concerned liable for departmental action, they shall also be liable to be punished for contempt of court to be instituted before High Court having territorial jurisdiction.

•(8)

Authorising detention without recording reasons as aforesaid by the judicial Magistrate concerned shall be liable for departmental action by the appropriate High Court.

15. We hasten to add that the directions aforesaid shall not only apply to the cases under Section 498-A of the I.P.C. or Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, the case in hand, but also such cases where offence is punishable with imprisonment for a term which may be less than seven years or which may extend to seven years; whether with or without fine.

4. These Criminal Original Petitions are ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Writs)

/True copy/


Sub Assistant Registrar

To


1. THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DINDIGUL & DISTRICT.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BATLAGUNDU POLICE STATION, BATLAGUNDU, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.



3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT, RAMANATHAPURAM.
4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
5. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, THENI DISTRICT.
6. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DSP OFFICE, BODINAYAKKANUR, THENI DIST
7. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, BODI TOWN POLICE STATION,
BODINAYAKKANUR, THENI DIST
8. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ANTI LAND GRABBING SPECIAL CELL,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SETHPATHI NAGAR, RAMANATHAPURAM.
9. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT, VIRUDHUNAGAR.
10. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SRIVILLIPUTHUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
11. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SRIVILLIPUTTUR TOWN POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
12. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VANNIYAMPATTI POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
13. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, SOUTH ZONE, MADURAI.
14. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, THENI DISTRICT, THENI.
15. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
THENI DIVISION, THENI DISTRICT.
16. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PALANICHETTIPATTI POLICE STATION, THENI DISTRICT.
17. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, TIRUNELVELI CITY, TIRUNELVELI.
18. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
HIGHGROUND POLICE STATION, HIGHGROUND, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
19. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CITY CRIME BRANCH, TIRUNELVELI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
20. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, KEELAKARAI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
21. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SIVAKASI TOWN POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.



22. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1CC TO MR.R.MANOCHARAN, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8519
+1CC TO MR.C.MASILAMANI, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8176
+1CC TO MR.S.SITHRANJANDAS, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8124
+1CC TO MR.D.ANBARASU, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8444
+1CC TO MR.A.K.MANICKAM, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8620
+1CC TO MR.G.MARIMUTHU, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8578
+1CC TO MR.S.R.A.RAMACHANDRAN, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8200
+1CC TO MR.A.M.KARUPPASAMY, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8139
+1CC TO MR.K.SAMIDURAI, ADVOCATE IN SR : 8416

Akv

SR : 09.03.2015 : 8p/32c

Cr1.O.P.(MD)Nos.2715,2726,2762,2763,
2796,2810,2829,2837,2840 and
2846 of 2015 (10 cases)
23.02.2015