



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twelfth day of February Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.2411 of 2015

G.VANANGAMUDI

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SAPTUR POLICE STATION, PERAIYR TALUK,
MADURAI DISTRICT. CR. NO. 14/2015. ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.K. HEMA KARTHIKEYAN Advocate

For Respondent : MRS.S.PRABHA Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(1) IPC in Crime No.14 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.


2. It is the case of the prosecution that on account of water dispute, there was a quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant due to which the defacto complainant was injured.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is the case of case and counter and the counter case in Crime No.16 of 2015 has been registered against the defacto complainant and three others.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that injured was discharged from hospital and there is no previous case against the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the State.

6. Considering the above aspects, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur, on condition that the petitioner



shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10:30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) as to whether the petitioners are complying with the order or not.

sd/-

12/02/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SAPTUR POLICE STATION, PERAIYR TALUK, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PERAIYUR
- 3 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.K. HEMA KARTHIKEYAN Advocate SR.No.6668

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2411 of 2015

Date :12/02/2015

AA/16.02.2015/2p- 6c/