



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Eleventh day of February Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.2347 of 2015

1 VP.BALAJI
2 VP.KALAISELVAN
3 VP.TAMILARASI
4 VP.SUBASHREE

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 to 4

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CR. NO.1/2015.

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.S.SIVARAM Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 498(A) of IPC r/w Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, in Cr.No.1 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.


2.This case has been registered pursuant to a direction issued under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C by the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Dindigul.

3.On instructions from the respondent Police, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that all the jewellerys, that were given during marriage, to the defacto complainant are with her. It is stated that the petitioners are having household articles.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they are ready to give back the household articles and they are also ready for reunion.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 6.30 p.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation and the rest of the petitioners shall appear before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.



[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

11/02/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, DINDIGUL.

2 -do-thro'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.

3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.R.S.SIVARAM Advocate SR.No.6407

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2347 of 2015

Date :11/02/2015

PBK 12/02/2015 ::2P-6C: