



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Third day of November Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.22112 of 2015

SARAVANAN

... PETITIONER / 1st ACCUSED

Vs

State Rep.by

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

VANNIAMPATTI POLICE STATION,VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT

(Cr.No.162 of 2014)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.POORNA CHANDRAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.Anbarasan, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused No.1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.12.2014 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 458, 395, 397, 411, 414 and 109 of the Indian Penal Code in Crime No.162 of 2014 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 07.09.2014, some unknown person have broke open the house of the defacto complainant and looted gold ornaments, silver vessels, mobile phone and cash of Rs.5,750/- at knife point. The case has been registered in Crime No.162 of 2014 under Sections 395 and 397 I.P.C. Subsequently, the petitioner and other two accused were arrested on 20.12.2014 in a murder case in Crime No.249 of 2014 on the file of the Kenikarai Police Station, Ramnad District and at that time, the petitioner gave a confession statement that he involved in the present case.

3. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence and prays for bail in favour of the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody for more than 329 days.

4. This Court by an order dated 03.11.2015 dismissed the petition filed by the petitioner in Crl.O.P(MD)No.19801 of 2015.


5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioner is having four previous cases of similar in nature and he is the main accused. He would further submit that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will abscond.

6. Considering the gravity of the offence and also considering the fact that there is no change in circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-

23/11/2015

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE SUPERINTENDENT, CENTRAL PRISON, MADURAI

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VANNIAMPATTI POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

sm:sks-rr-SAR-II:26.11.2015:2P/4C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.22112 of 2015

Date :23/11/2015