



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.11.2015

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

Crl.O.P. (MD) Nos.21469 and 21470 of 2015

WEB COPY

1. Rajesh
2. Ganesan
3. Dinesh @ Dinesh Kumar

..Petitioners/A1 to A3
in Crl.O.P. (MD) No.21469/2015

1. Marimuthu

..Petitioner/Sole Accused
in Crl.O.P. (MD) No.21470/2015

Vs.

1. State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Aranthangi Police Station
Pudukottai District
(Crime Nos.444 & 445/2015)

..1st Respondent / Complainant
in both cases

2. Marimuthu

..2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant in
Crl.O.P. (MD) No.21469/2015

3. Rajesh

..2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant in
Crl.O.P. (MD) No.21470/2015

Common Prayer: Petitions filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, praying to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime Nos.444 and 445 of 2015 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners	:	Mr.T.Lenin Kumar
in Crl.OP.21469/2015		
For Petitioners	:	Mr.D.Venkatesh
in Crl.OP.21470/2015		
For R1	:	Mrs.S.Prabha
		Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

C O M M O N O R D E R

These petitions have been filed seeking to quash the proceedings in Crime Nos.444 and 445 of 2015, on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2. It is seen that a case in Crime No.444 of 2015 for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC., has been registered against the petitioners / Accused in Crl.O.P.(MD)21469/2015 and in respect of Crime No.445 of 2015, a case has been registered for the offences under Sections 294(b), and 324 of IPC.

3. When these matters are taken up for hearing, the petitioners/Accused and the second respondent in both cases, appeared in person and their identifications were also verified by this Court, in addition to the confirmation of the parties by the Government Advocate (Crl.Side) through the respondent Police.



4. The counsel appearing on either side filed two separate memos of compromise duly stating that since the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement by way of compromise among themselves, the second respondent has agreed to withdraw the above cases, pending on the file of the first respondent.

5. Considering the nature of allegations and also considering the memos of compromise, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping these matters pending. Therefore, the entire proceedings in Crime Nos.444 and 445 of 2015 respectively on the file of the 1st respondent, are hereby quashed.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties. The joint compromise memos shall form part of this common order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To:

1.The Inspector of Police,
Aranthangi Police Station
Pudukottai District

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P. (MD) Nos.21469 and 21470 of 2015
06.11.2015

mpk

PBK/MP/SAR-II 19/11/2015 ::2P-3C::