



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.11.2015

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21205 of 2015

Rani

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Alagar Kovil Road,
Madurai.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Palayamkottai Range,
Tirunelveli District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the respondent No.4 for not to harass the petitioner and her family members.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Alagumani

For Respondents : Mr.A.P.Balasubramani


Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side).

ORDER

The petitioner seeks for a direction to the 4th respondent, not to harass the petitioner and her family members.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), on instruction, would submit that petition enquiry was conducted and there is no such harassment against the petitioner and if any interrogation is needed by the respondent against the petitioner, the norms which are mentioned in the Judgement of Hon'ble Apex Court in *D.K.Basu Vs. State of West Bengal* reported in AIR (1997) SC 610, would be followed in case of interrogation or any further investigation.



Adding further, he would submit that the petitioner's son have got three previous cases and that the petitioner's son will have to be enquired and there is no harassment to the petitioner at all. He would further submit that there will not be any harassment and the enquiry or interrogation would be done in accordance with law.

4. Considering the submissions made on both sides, the police is entitled to investigate the matter by interrogating the petitioner's son in proper manner. According to the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), there was no harassment done by the police to the petitioner. He would also state that there will not be any harassment in future also.

5. Recording the statement of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) that the respondent police will not harass the petitioner in future under the guise of any enquiry, the criminal original Petition is closed. The petitioner's son is directed to co-operate with the enquiry.

sd/-
Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Alagar Kovil Road, Madurai.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Palayamkottai Range, Tirunelveli District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Palayamkottai Police Station, Tirunelveli District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+ 1 CC TO MR.R.ALAGUMANI, ADVOCATE IN SR NO. 65130

MPK
TE/SK-SKN/ 25/11/2015 : 2P/7C

Crl.O.P. (MD) No.21205 of 2015
04.11.2015