



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twentieth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.20482 of 2015

1 VAIRAMMAL,
2 PERIYA VAIRAMMAL,
3 SENTHIL KUMAR,
4 VIJAYALAKSHMI
5 NAMBI RAJAN,

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.2 to 6

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMAN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CR.NO.33/2015

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S A.THIRUVADIKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.Balasubramani, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 494, 498A, 506(i) IPC, Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.33 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.


2. On a reading of the complaint, it appears that the allegations levelled against the petitioners are not serious in nature.

3. Taking into account the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners 1, 2 and 4 shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation and the petitioners 3 and 5 shall appear before the respondent police daily at 06:30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices>
[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or



trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the Office of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), whether the petitioners 3 and 5 are complying with the order or not.

sd/-
20/10/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, DINDIGUL
- 2 -DO-THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMAN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S A.THIRUVADIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.62270

sm:AAL:20.10.2015:2P/6c

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20482 of 2015
Date :20/10/2015