



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Twentieth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.20432 of 2015

WEB COPY

1 KADARKARAI  
2 RAJAGURU  
3 PALANIMURUGAN  
4 SELVAM  
5 VENKAT RAMAN

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 TO 5

Vs.

STATE REP.BY  
THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE  
KEELARAJAKULARAMAN POLICE STATION,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT,  
CRIME NO.228 OF 2015

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S V.SASIKUMAR Advocate  
For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI,  
Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.  
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 506(ii) of Indian Penal Code in Crime No.228 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. It is alleged that on account of business transaction, these petitioners had cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.24,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Four Lakhs only).

3. Taking into account the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rajapalayam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 06:30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness or obstruct or interfere with investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the Office of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), whether the petitioners are complying with the order or not.

sd/-  
20/10/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
RAJAPALAYAM.

2 -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
VIRUDHUNAGAR AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
KEELARAJAKULARAMAN POLICE STATION,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S V.SASIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.62224

akm/20.10.2015 /2p-6c/

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.20432 of 2015  
Date :20/10/2015