



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twentieth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.20421 of 2015

1 RAJA
2 CHELLAMUTHU

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 1&3

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
AMBILIGAI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CR. NO. 125 OF 2015

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S D.VENKATESH Advocate

For Respondent : A.P.BALASUBRAMANI , Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of the Indian Penal Code in Crime No.125 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that on account of wordy quarrel, the present case has been registered.

3. Considering the above, I am inclined to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottanchathiram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 06:30 in the evening for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial



Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send compliance report to the office of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor whether the petitioners are complying with the order or not.

sd/-

20/10/2015

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
AMBILIGAI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, OTTANCHATHIRAM

3 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL

4 THE THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH
COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S D.VENKATESH Advocate SR.No.62280

JAM /20.10.2015/NGM-SS/SAR II/2P-6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.20421 of 2015

Date :20/10/2015