



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twentieth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.20420 of 2015

VELMURUGAN @ VELPANDI

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUKANAGUDI POLICE STATION,
THIRUNELVELI DISTRICT,
CRIME NO.107 OF 2015

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S D.VENKATESH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.107 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of the Indian Penal Code r/w Section 4 of TNPHW Act, the petitioner is now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.

2.The de facto complainant is none other than the own sister of this petitioner and that this petitioner scolded her for talking to a neighbour boy.


3.Heard the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side).

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, but with conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri, on his executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

(a) the petitioner shall report before the respondent/Police every day at 6.30 p.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned shall send compliance report to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor as to whether the petitioner is complying with the order or not.

sd/-
20/10/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NANGUNERI

2 DO THRO THE CHEIF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

3 THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUKANAGUDI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT,

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S D.VENKATESH Advocate SR.No.62279

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20420 of 2015
Date :20/10/2015

AM/20.10.2015/AMF//SAR-I/2P/6C