



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Sixth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP (MD) No.20141 of 2015

WEB COPY

K.VENKATACHALAM

..PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1

S.MAHESWARAN

..INTERVENOR

Vs

STATE REP.BY THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VILATHIKULAM POLICE STATION,
TUTICORIN DISTRICT,
CRIME NO.200 OF 2015

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.PALANIVELAYUTHAM Advocate

For Respondent : M/s.S.PRABHA, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.M.KARTHIKEYA VENKITACHALAPATHY, Advocate

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.200 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, was arrested on 10.09.2015 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 408, 465, 467, 468, 471, 419 and 420 of I.P.C., and hence, seeks bail.

2. According to the de-facto complainant, the Company called PACL Limited have purchased lands to an extent of 166.24 acres in M.Subramaniapuram Village in the name of their employees and the accused by impersonation, created power of attorneys in their favour in the year 2014 and sold the property.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody from 10.09.2015.

4. Per contra, the learned counsel for the intervenor submitted that the Company had purchased properties in the name of the employees for its convenience to convey the property in the name of the customers and now the company is in trouble, the accused, who are acting as brokers in that area knows about the problems faced by the company and they have created the power of attorneys by committing impersonation and therefore, he is not entitled for bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the earlier application was dismissed by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.18964 of 2015.

6. Considering the facts and the period of incarceration, this Court <https://hcservicescourts.gov.in/hcservices> grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with



two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, Tuticorin District and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

sd/-

26/10/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VILALTHIKULAM,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

2 -do-thro' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THOOTHUKUDI.

3 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

4 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, VILATHIKULAM POLICE STATION,
TUTICORIN DISTRICT.

5 THE SUPERINTENDENT, CENTRAL PRISON, PALAYAMKOTTAI,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S S.PALANIVELAYUTHAM Advocate SR.No.62327

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.20141 of 2015

Date :26/10/2015

PBK/SK-SKN/SAR-I 26/10/2015 ::2P-7C::