



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Fourteenth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.19924 of 2015

1 LATHA
2 THONDIAMMAL
3 MARIKANNU
4 JANAKI
5 INDIRA
6 PANJAVARNAM
7 ALAGAR
8 CHELLAPPAN
9 MURUGAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.2 TO 10

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVADANAI,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT,
CR.NO.17 OF 2015 ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S M.PALANI RAJA Advocate


For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 323, 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 2001 in Crime No.17 of 2015 on the file of the respondent Police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.According to the de facto complainant that she married the 1st accused 3 years ago and during the wedlock, they are having one male child and thereafter, the accused harassed her demanding more dowry. On 11.08.2015 she preferred a complaint with Thiruvaadanai All Women Police Station and thereafter, the matter was compromised and both started living together as husband and wife. Again at the instigation of the accused Nos.2 to 10, the 1st accused harassed the de facto complainant demanding more dowry.



acted as a mediator to compromise the dispute. However, she is also added as one of the accused in this case. It is further contended that the allegations against the accused Nos.2 to 10 are vague and they were falsely implicated in this case with an ulterior motive.

4. Heard the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram District, and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with one surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

6. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
14/10/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVADANAI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMANATHAPURAM.
- 3 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVADANAI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S M.PALANI RAJA Advocate SR.No.61087

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19924 of 2015
Date :14/10/2015