



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Eighth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.19306 of 2015

TAMILARASI

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, DINDIGUL,
CR.NO.47/2015.

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.CHANDRASEKAR Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A2 apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under sections 420, 468, 120(B) IPC r/w 34 of IPC, in Crime No.47 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution that the accused have received Rs.3 Lakhs from the de-facto complainant on the assurance of getting job in the Government Hospital, Ayyampalayam, but they failed to keep their words, nor returned the amount.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel further submitted that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner was working at Kattachinnanpatti-Reddiyar Chatiram Anganwadi Centre, which is proved from the report received under Right to Information Act. It is further submitted that the de-facto complainant has paid the entire amount to one Mohakaran, who is working in Secretariat, Chennai.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent vehemently opposed grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner stating that this is a case of job racking and as per the FIR, the de-facto complainant Amsavalli pledged her 15 sovereigns of jewels for Rs.1,95,000/- and borrowed Rs.1,00,000/- from the third parties and paid the entire amount to the accused.



5.Considering the nature of the allegations made against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
08/10/2015

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, DINDIGUL.

2 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.CHANDRASEKAR Advocate SR.No.59626

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19306 of 2015
Date :08/10/2015

rg.16.10.2015/NGM/SS/SAR-II 2P/4C.