



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Twenty Ninth day of September Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.18491 of 2015

MAYAVAN @ ISHAK

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED No.1

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY  
THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE  
MATHUR POLICE STATION,  
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT,  
(CRIME NO.88 OF 2015)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S K.M.KARUNAKARAN Advocate

For Respondent : MRS.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the 1<sup>st</sup> accused in Crime No.88 of 2015 for the offences punishable under Sections 302 IPC on the file of the respondent Police, was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.07.2015. He seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the 2<sup>nd</sup> accused and the deceased are husband and wife and the 1<sup>st</sup> accused had developed intimacy with the 2<sup>nd</sup> accused and since her husband objected the same, the 1<sup>st</sup> accused has killed the deceased by inflicting injury with knife.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's name is not mentioned in the FIR and there is no witness to the occurrence also. It is further contended that the petitioner was roped in this case only on suspicion and he has been in judicial custody for more than 67 days. It is further contended that the petitioner is a nero patient.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent objected for granting bail to the petitioner and contended that the petitioner / accused had conspired with accused Nos.2 and 3 and committed this heinous crime. It is further submitted that investigation is yet to be completed.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>  
6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on



executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Keeranur, Pudukkottai District and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily twice at 10.00 am., and 5.00 pm., until further orders.

sd/-

29/09/2015

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
KEERANUR

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT

3 THE SUPERINTENDENT  
CENTRAL PRISON  
TRICHY

4 THE INSEPECTOR OF POLICE  
MATHUR POLICE STATION,  
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT,

5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S K.M.KARUNAKARAN Advocate SR.No.57276.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18491 of 2015

Date :29/09/2015

AM/29.09.2015/GSV.PM/SAR-I/2P/7C