



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Third day of February Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP (MD) No.1785 of 2015

1 NATCHIAPPA PILLAI
2 BANUMATHY
3 NATHCIAYAMMAL
4 ANNAPOORANI
5 LEELAVATHY
6 ESWARI
7 NATRYAN
8 SARAVANAN
9 SUBRAMANI

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED

Vs

STATE

REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

THADIKOMBU POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

CRIME 31/2015

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.MAHENDRAPATHY Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN

Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.31 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 147, 148, 447, 294(b), 506(ii) of the Indian Penal Code, the petitioners are now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that there appears to be a wordy quarrel between the de-facto complainant and the petitioners herein and no one is injured in the alleged occurrence.

3. It is seen that based on the directions of this Court, this case appears to have been registered in Crime N.31 of 2015 for the aforesaid offences.



4. It is represented by the learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) that no one sustained injury in this case. Under such circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, but with conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on their appearance within 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Dindigul, on executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with one surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that,

(a) the petitioners 7 and 8 shall report before the respondent police every day at 06.30 p.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation. The other petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] The Inspector of Police concerned, is directed to send compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), whether these petitioners are complying with the order or not.

sd/-

03/02/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, DINDIGUL

2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT

3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

4.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

THADIKOMBU POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT

+1. CC to M/S.S.MAHENDRAPATHY Advocate SR.No.5052

RL/6 C- 5/2/2015

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1785 of 2015

Date :03/02/2015