



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixteenth day of September Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.17497 of 2015

ABDUL RAHIM ... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED
Vs

STATE THROUGH
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT,
(CRIME NO.NOT KNOWN OF 2015) ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S R.MURUGAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mrs.S.Prabha, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is the sole accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 406, 420, 465, 468, 472 and 120(b) of I.P.C., in Crime No.33 of 2012 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the de-facto complainant, he approached the third accused to purchase a car and in turn, he introduced the second accused and eventually purchased a car bearing registration No.TN.05.K.4861 from the first accused for Rs.3,25,000/- and when he enquired with the concerned RTO Office, it was found that the R.C. Book was a forged one and the registration number was allotted by the Authority to a two wheeler.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that his name is not mentioned in the F.I.R. and the occurrence had taken place in the year 2011 and the case has been registered based on the direction issued by the Judicial Magistrate under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the petitioner is a main accused in this case and he only forged the R.C. Book and sold the vehicle to the de-facto complainant and he has no bad antecedents. It is further submitted that the third accused was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.14631 of 2012.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate under Section No.I, Dindigul and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on



further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders. The petitioner shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

6. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
16/09/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, DINDIGUL
- 2 -do-thro THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT,
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S R.MURUGAN Advocate SR.No.55095

sm:AMF:SAR I:23.09.2015:2P/6c

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17497 of 2015
Date :16/09/2015