



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Seventeenth day of February Two Thousand Fifteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP (MD) No.16715 of 2014

WEB COPY

1 MARISAMY
2 SURIYAKALA
3 POUNRAJ

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED RANKS NOT KNOWN

Vs

STATE.REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, SOOLAKARAI,
VIRUDHUNAGAR,
CRIME NO.14 OF 2014

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.PON KARTHIKEYAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANNITHEVAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.14 of 2014, on the file of the respondent police for offences under Sections 498(A), 506 (i) and 494 IPC, the petitioners are now before this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

3. Initially, the case was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre and the Mediation Centre has sent a failure report dated 06.02.2015.

4. It is the case of the prosecution that the first petitioner got married to the de-facto complainant and they have two children through the wedlock. Subsequently, the first petitioner has married the 2nd petitioner and has abandoned the de-facto complainant and her two children. Since the allegations against the first petitioner are indeed very serious, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner. As regards the petitioners 2 and 3, since the allegations are not very serious and it is alleged that the first



petitioner has married the 2nd petitioner and the third petitioner is the father of the 2nd petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 2 and 3.

WEB COPY

5. It is submitted that pursuant to the order of interim anticipatory bail granted to these petitioners, the petitioners 2 and 3 have already executed sureties and hence, the anticipatory bail is made absolute in respect of the petitioners 2 and 3. The petitioners need not execute any fresh sureties, as the sureties already executed for the purpose of availing interim anticipatory bail shall hold good. However, the petitioners have to appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. In respect of the first petitioner, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
17/02/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,VIRUDHUNAGAR.

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
SOOLAKARAI,VIRUDHUNAGAR.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.PON KARTHIKEYAN Advocate SR.No.7425

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.16715 of 2014
Date :17/02/2015

PA/19.02.15/2P/5C