



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fourth day of August Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.16297 of 2015

WEB COPY

1 PERIYAMMAL @ PANDIAMMAL
2 P. VIJAYARANI

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.24 OF 2015)

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S H.SYED IBRAHIM Advocate

For Respondent : M/s.S.PRABHA, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who are arrayed as A5 and A2 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for an alleged offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 506(i) and 406 of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act in Crime No.24 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. According to the de facto complainant, the petitioners have demanded 50 sovereigns of gold jewels as additional dowry and harassed her.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the de-facto complainant was married to one Arumugam through whom she got two children. It is further submitted that the petitioners were not aware of the marriage between the de-facto complainant and the first accused and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.Heard the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the State.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, and on each of them executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.



6.The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-

24/08/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 -DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.

3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S H.SYED IBRAHIM Advocate SR.No.48667

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.16297 of 2015

Date :24/08/2015

PBK/AAL-MPA/SAR-II 01/09/2015 ::2P-6C::