



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Third day of August Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

THE HON`BLE MR JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.14952 of 2015

THANGAVEL

... PETITIONER/ sole accused

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL.
(CRIME NO.19 OF 2015)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.J.LAWRANCE Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is the sole accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 323, 294(b) IPC r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998, in Crime No.19 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused has tortured and harassed the defacto complainant demanding more dowry.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the marriage between the petitioner and the defacto complainant was solemnized in the year 1995. They blessed with two children and both of them got married. The petitioner is working as Station Master in the Indian Railway. While the defacto complainant is working as a Teacher and she deserted the matrimonial home long back and also developed illicit intimacy with one Auto Driver, who is residing at Dindigul. For which, the petitioner prepared a complaint with the Inspector of Police, Town North Police Station. He further submitted that this complaint is given as a counter blast to the complaint given by the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the accused has demanded dowry from the defacto complainant.

5.Considering the above said facts, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Dindigul and on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 6.00 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required. The petitioner shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

6. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
03/08/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL.
 2. DO-THRO CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL.
 3. STATE REP BY INSPECTOR OF
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL.
 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- +1. CC to M/S.J.LAWRANCE Advocate SR.No.43911

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14952 of 2015
Date :03/08/2015

2P/6C
NS/SJW/SAR-II : 05.08.2015