



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Third day of July Two Thousand Fifteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.14019 of 2015

WEB COPY

M. SENTHILMURUGAN ... PETITIONER/ACCUSED(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PULUTHIPATTI POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI DIST,
CRIME NO.62/2015 ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.P.RAJKUMAR Advocate
For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who is arrayed as accused apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under sections 342,364(A),368 and 397 of IPC, in Crime No.62 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.According to the de-facto complainant, viz., Chandrasekaran, the accused kidnapped him and also taken away 30 Sovereigns of jewels, cash of Rs.5 lakhs and also a car.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent persons and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner is the friend of A1 and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that A5 was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.O.P(MD).No.12170 of 2015, dated 08.07.2015.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the de-facto complainant had received huge amount from the accused as well as the other general public assuring them to get employment in abroad and later, he did not fulfil his commitment and therefore, he was abducted by the accused. It is further submitted that the cash and the other properties were recovered from the other accused.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police at 06.00 p.m for a period of two weeks. The petitioner shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
23/07/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUPPATHUR
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, PULUTHIPATTI POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI
- 4 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.P.RAJKUMAR Advocate SR.No.41373

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14019 of 2015
Date : 23/07/2015

AA/04.08.2015/ 2p- 6c/