



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Second day of July Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.13636 of 2015

1 S. SUTHA

2 RAMACHANDRAN @ SENTHIL

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 2 & 3

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHOLAVANTHAN POLICE STATION, CHOLAVANTHAN,
MADURAI DISTRICT, CRIME NO.206/2015

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.L.SHAJI CHELLAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.K.V.RAJARAJAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A2 and A3 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under section 366 of IPC, in Crime No.206 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.


2.The case of the prosecution is that A1 got married the daughter of the de-facto complainant, who is aged about 24 years and thereafter, the marriage was divorced, by an agreement of divorce, dated 25.04.2015 and in the month of May 2015, all the accused kidnapped the daughter of the de-facto complainant.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel further submitted that the petitioners are mother and maternal uncle of A1 and A1, who is working as an Engineer got married the daughter of the de-facto complainant, namely Vahitha Begum and due to matrimonial dispute, the marriage was divorced on 25.04.2015. It is further submitted that the petitioners were unnecessarily roped in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the victim is aged about 24 years and the victim after the divorce , she eloped with A1 and the victim is not yet secured.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, Madurai and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
22/07/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VADIAPPATI.
- 2 DO THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHOLAVANTHAN POLICE STATION, CHOLAVANTHAN, MADURAI DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.L.SHAJI CHELLAN Advocate SR.No.41074.

TS/24.07.2015/2P - 6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13636 of 2015
Date :22/07/2015