



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Wednesday, the Twenty Ninth day of July Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.12685 of 2015

1 GOPALAKRISHNAN  
2 RAVICHANDRAN  
3 SELVI,

... PETITIONERS / A1 TO A3

Vs

THE STATE REP BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
AL WOMEN POLICE STATION, THIRUVERAMBUR,  
TRICHY DISTRICT. (CRIME NO.9 OF 2015)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.K.M.KARUNAKARAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who are arrayed as A1 to A3 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under sections 294(b) and 498(A) of IPC and section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, in Crime No.9 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is the wife of the first petitioner/A1 and the petitioners 2 and 3/A2 and A3 are in-laws of the de-facto complainant and all of them harassed the de-facto complainant by demanding more dowry.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel further submitted that earlier this matter was referred to mediation centre, but the de-facto complainant did not turn up to appear before the mediator. It is further submitted that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner has filed a petition in HMOP No.94 of 2015 on the file of the Sub Court, Trichy for restitution of conjugal rights and the de-facto complainant has also filed M.C No.3 of 2015 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Theni and both the petitions are pending for adjudication.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the accused harassed the de-facto complainant by demanding more dowry.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Tiruchirapalli and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m until furthers and the petitioners 2 and shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. Scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-  
29/07/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.VI, TIRUCHIRAPALLI

2 -DO-THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TRICHY

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
AL WOMEN POLICE STATION, THIRUVERAMBUR,  
TRICHY DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.K.M.KARUNAKARAN Advocate SR.No.42476

Sm:KBM:SAR-I:3.08.2015:2P/6C

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.12685 of 2015  
Date :29/07/2015