



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Seventh day of January Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.1257 of 2015

JAYALAKSHMI

..PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.2

Vs.

STATE REP.BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHINTHAMANIPATTI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.7/2015

..RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.KANNAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.7 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that on account of wordy quarrel, the present case in Crime No.7 of 2015 has been registered and no one has been injured in the incident.

3. Considering the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Kulithalai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

27/01/2015

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, KULITHALAI, KARUR DISTRICT.

2 -DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARUR.

3 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,MADURAI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, CHINTHAMANIPATTI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S.V.KANNAN Advocate SR.No.3389

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1257 of 2015

Date :27/01/2015

PBK 28/01/2015 ::2P-6C: