



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Third day of July Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.12263 of 2015

WEB COPY

C.PALANICHAMY

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1

Vs

STATE REP BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DIST.

(CRIME NO. 14/2015) ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.A.RAJINI Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No1, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 417, 495 and 506(i) of I.P.C., in Crime No.14 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the de-facto complainant, the petitioner, who has already married and having two children and suppressed the earlier marriage, married the de-facto complainant.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the de-facto complainant has voluntarily approached the petitioner for marriage.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the petitioner has married the de-facto complainant suppressing the earlier marriage which is still subsisting.

5. Considering the above facts, the petitioner is not entitled for anticipatory bail. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-

03/07/2015

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DIST.

2 THE ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.12263 of 2015

Date :03/07/2015

AA/08.07.2015/ 2p- 3c/