



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Seventh day of January Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

THE HON`BLE MR JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.1222 of 2015

1 P. SUBBAIAH
2 S. KALIDOSS

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 & 2

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DINDIGUL TOWN SOUTH POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL, CRIME NO.49/2015

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.L.MADHUSUDHANAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANIAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 448, 380(NP) and 506(i)I.P.C. r/w Section 4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.49 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.


2. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side).

3. The defacto complainant appears to have availed a vehicle loan from a finance Company and had defaulted in making payment. Hence, the petitioners had secured the vehicle. The defacto complainant appears to be an Advocate.

4. It is represented by the learned Counsel for the petitioners that no Advocate is coming forward to file surety on behalf of the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham and on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham, after obtaining the surety bond, shall keep the same on his file and transmit the original papers to the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul, where the case in Cr.No.49 of 2015 is pending and on further conditions that



[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-
27/01/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NATHAM.
2. -DO- THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DINDIGUL TOWN SOUTH POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.L.MADHUSUDHANAN Advocate SR.No. 3532

SR : 30.01.2015 : 2P/6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1222 of 2015
Date :27/01/2015