



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Second day of June Two Thousand Fifteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.11301 of 2015

WEB COPY

1 SUBHA
2 DHIVYA
3 DHILIP @ DHILIP KRISHNAN
4 JEEVANANTH

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No.1 TO 4

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THUTHUKUDI SOUTH POLICE STATION,
(CR.NO. 485 OF 2015)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.L.MADHUSUDHANAN Advocate
For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A-1 to A-4 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), I.P.C and Section 4 of Women Harassment Act and Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1922, in Crime No. 485 of 2015, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.According to the defacto complainant, when she went to finance company to pay the instalment, the accused insisted to pay the entire arrears and also quarrelled with her and damaged her cell phone.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are employees in Sri Ram Chits and the defacto complainant committed default of four instalments and as per the rules, the defacto complainant has to pay the entire dues. When the defacto complainant was asked to pay the entire arrears, she denied it, but she was ready to pay only one month arrears, due the conversation, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint.

4.Heard the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side).

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court



is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned **(*) JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NO.I, TIRUNELVELI** on each executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. Scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
22/06/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

**(*) FOR BEING MENTIONED - Amended as per order of this Court
dated.02/07/2015**

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

TO BE SUBSTITUTED ORDER COPY ALREADY BE DESPATCHED:

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, THIRUNELVELI
- 2 DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUNELVELI
- 3 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, THUTHUKUDI.
- 4 DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THUTHUKUDI.
- 5 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THUTHUKUDI SOUTH POLICE STATION.
- 6 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.L.MADHUSUDHANAN Advocate SR.No.32667

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11301 of 2015
Date :22/06/2015

PA/PPS/;25.06.2015/2P/6C
DM 6 7 15