



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Fourth day of June Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD) No.10932 of 2015
and
CRL OP(MD) No.11441 of 2015

1 DHARMAR
2 ARUMUGAM
3 PIRAVINDAN
4 RAJESH KANNAN
5 MAYAKANNAN
6 PRABHA

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 2 -7
IN CRL OP(MD) No.10932 of 2015

KASIMAYAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 1
IN CRL OP(MD) No.10932 of 2015

Vs

STATE REP BY:: THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VILAMPATTI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. 82 OF 2015

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT
IN BOTH CRL OP'S

For Petitioner : M/S.V.PANDIYAN Advocate IN BOTH CRL OP'S

For Respondent : MR. P. KANNITHEVAN, GOVT ADV (CRL SIDE)
IN BOTH CRL OP'S

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners/A1 and A2 to A7 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 324, 447, 506(ii) I.P.C in Crime No.82 of 2015 and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first accused along with the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and his family members due to enmity and caused injuries to them.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. The learned counsel further submitted that the accused 6 and 7 are studying in private Engineering College.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4. The learned Government Advocate submitted that the injured had already been discharged.



5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the State and also perused the entire materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakkottai, Dindigul, and on each of them executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction to the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners 1 to 4 shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required and the petitioners 5 and 6/A6 and A7 shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. Scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
24/06/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NILAKOTTAI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE DINDIGUL

3 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VILAMPATTI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2. CC to M/S.V.PANDIYAN Advocate SR.No.33239,33240

DM 24 JUNE 15

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10932 of 2015
AND CRL OP(MD) No.11441 of 2015

Date :24/06/2015

2P:7C