



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Thirteenth day of October Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) Nos.10920 and 11059 of 2015

1 VEERAAIAH
2 ASHOK KUMAR
3 SORNASANGEETHA
4 KUMARASAMY
5 KANNAMBAL

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN
IN CRL OP(MD)NO.10920/2015

1 P.SIVAKUMAR
2 CHINDIYA
3 VIJAYA
4 K.GANDHI

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN
IN CRL OP(MD)NO.11059/2015

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEERANU POLICE STATION, KEERANUR,
PUDUKKOTTAI DT,
CRIME NO.144 OF 2015
(Respectively)

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT IN BOTH THE CASES

For Petitioner : M/S.P.GANAPATHI SUBRAMANIAN Advocate
in both the cases.

For Respondent : M/S.S.PRABHA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)
in both the cases.


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 8, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 366(A) of I.P.C., in Crime No.144 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2. According to the de-facto complainant, that on 13.06.2015, evening at 6.00 p.m., the accused have kidnapped his daughter.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the daughter of the de-facto complainant and the first accused have fallen in love with each other and on her own volition, she left her



house and in H.C.P.No.910 of 2015, the alleged victim was produced before the Court and she is now residing with her parents. It is further submitted that even after production of the victim before this Court in the above H.C.P., again she eloped with the first accused, for which another case was registered against the petitioner and in that case, she voluntarily appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate and gave a statement stating that she on her own, eloped with the 1st petitioner and she is residing away from her parents.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the alleged victim is now residing with her parents.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate cum District Munsif, Keeranur and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the first accused shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders and the other petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

6. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
13/10/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE CUM DISTRICT MUNSIF, KEERANUR.
 - 2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PUDUKKOTTAI.
 - 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
 - 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEERANUR POLICE STATION, KEERANUR, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
- +2. CC to M/S.P.GANAPATHI SUBRAMANIAN, Advocate
SR.Nos.60693 & 60692

ORDER
IN

CRL OP (MD) Nos.10920 & 11059 of 2015
Date :13/10/2015