



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Second day of June Two Thousand Fifteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM
CRL OP(MD) No.10831 of 2015

1 THANGESWARAN
2 MOHAN
3 VELLAKKAL KANNAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 1 TO 3

Vs

STASTE REP BY: THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERUNKUDI POLICE STATION, MADURAI, CRIME NO.
137 OF 2015.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.MUNIYANDI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2 along with A3 filed Crl. OP.(MD)No. 9128 of 2015 seeking anticipatory bail and on 01/06/2015, when the matter was taken up for hearing, since the learned Government Advocate (Crl Side) submitted that A1 is having 7 previous cases and A2 is having 3 previous cases of similar nature, this Court was not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and hence, the learned counsel withdrew the petition in respect of A1 and A2 and A3 alone was granted anticipatory bail.

In view of the above facts, I am of the opinion that the petitioners A1 and A2 are not entitled for anticipatory bail. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
22/06/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)

TO

1 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERUNKUDI POLICE STATION, MADURAI,
2 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

DM 24 JUNE 15

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10831 of 2015
Date :22/06/2015

1P 3C