



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Second day of June Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM
CRL OP(MD) No.10370 of 2015

RAMESH@RAMESH KUMAR

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.1

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VADAMADURAI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DIST,
CRIME NO. 148 OF 2015. ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.J.LAWRANCE Advocate

For Respondent : Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the arrayed as first accused, apprehends arrests for the alleged offence punishable under Section 306 I.P.C, in Cr.No.148 of 2015 on the file of the the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.According to the defacto complainant, the petitioner, who is his son-in-law, a drunkard had harassed her daughter and therefore, she committed suicide.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he lead a happy married life and due to depression, she committed suicide. He further submitted that after the occurrence, the petitioner's father was done to death by the defacto complainant party.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) seriously opposed this anticipatory bail application stating that the petitioner, after consuming liquor harassed the deceased and bet her, which leads to suicide.

5. Considering the allegations against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is not entitled for anticipatory bail.

6. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-

22/06/2015

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1. THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VADAMADURAI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DIST.

+1. CC to M/S. J. LAWRENCE Advocate SR.No.32748

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10370 of 2015

Date : 22/06/2015

RG.25.06.2015 2P/3C.