



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Ninth day of July Two Thousand Fifteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

CRL OP(MD) No.10212 of 2015

PERUMAL

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, VIRUDHUNAGAR DIST.

(CRIME NO. 11 OF 2015)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.K.MUTHU Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.V.RAJARAJAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The earlier anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was dismissed on 27.05.2015.

The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) filed a counter affidavit opposing the anticipatory bail petition and submitted that the total amount received by the accused in this case is Rs.1 crore 82 lakhs assuring job employment and this petitioner/A2 has received Rs.53,53,000/- and it is further submitted that the matter was not settled before the Mediation Centre.


Considering the gravity of the offences, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled for anticipatory bail. Hence, the petition is dismissed.

sd/-

09/07/2015

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, VIRUDHUNAGAR DIST.

2. THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

WEB COPY

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.10212 of 2015

Date : 09/07/2015

AA/14.07.2015/ 2p- 3c/